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Abstract: Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC) is recently profound for the next revolution of mobile communications area, where the convergence of IT and telecommunications networking provides lower latency and more computation capability for cellular base stations. In this paper, we formulate a novel optimization problem for server provisioning and user association to enhance the performance of the network operator in terms of energy cost and the average delay of mobile users. The problem is relaxed and approximately solved by an iterative algorithm and converge to a suboptimal solution of the original problem.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the proliferation of mobile devices and less expensive renewable energy installation cost at the base stations (BSs) have opened a novel research area, i.e., green communications. Since the base stations can be integrated with edge servers to perform local processing tasks and boost up the response time for user compared to the original cloud architecture. In this work, we consider the power sources of the system are electricity grid and renewable energy sources that are controlled by a centralized network controller.

Different from the paper [1], we consider a multi-site environment with a centralized network controller. In the related model [2] for the ultra-dense context, the author focuses on energy-aware mobility management while our formulation is dedicated to the user association and server provisioning management. To the best of our knowledge, the most relevant model [3] proposes the load balancing scheme for a network of MEC-enabled base stations and do not consider server provisioning and user association as in our work. In this paper, we propose an iterative algorithm for the server provisioning and user association problem to enhance the delay performance and reduce the energy cost of the operated BSs in a region. Accordingly, the network controller will decide which BSs mobile users can be associated with and the number of active servers for each site.

2. Server Provisioning and User Association Problem

A. System Model

In the considered region, we introduce a centralized network operator manipulate a network controller for its systems of BSs as in Fig. 1. At each site in the BSs set \( S \), BS receives power from the common renewable energy sources throughout network controller. The mobile devices in the region can be associated with one of BSs with different transmission rates. In this system model, we consider a single network operator who can control the number of active edge servers at each site, the user association decision among sites based on the traffic load condition, renewable energy procurement in the certain period. According to user association and the number of active edge servers, the network controller can purchase an extra amount of energy from electricity grid. Therefore, the network operator can have two roles such as energy management and MEC management.

We consider virtual user \( u \), who has the traffic follows the inhomogeneous Poisson point process with arrival rate per unit area arrivals rate \( \lambda(u) \). For simplicity, the arrival traffics can be modeled as user requests (i.e., data requests) with random sizes following independent distribution with mean \( 1/\nu(u) \).

Then, the traffic load density function can be modeled as \( \gamma(u) = \lambda(u) / \nu(u) \).

![Fig. 1: Centralized network controller system.](image)

For each user \( u \), the downlink transmission rate can be served by BS \( j \) is denoted by \( c_j(u) \) which follows Shannon capacity as in [4].

System load density of the BS \( j \) is \( \beta_j(u) = \gamma(u) / c_j(u) \), which defines the fraction of active transmission time required to deliver the traffic load \( \gamma(u) \) from BS \( j \) for user \( u \).

Definition 1 (Feasibility): The set \( F \) of feasible BS loads (or utilization) as in [4], [5], with the association probability variable \( p(u) \), is defined as
\[
\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \rho \mid \nu_j = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_j} \beta_j(u)p_j(u), 0 \leq \rho_j \leq 1 - \epsilon, \\
0 \leq p_j(u) \leq 1, \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \nu_j = 1, \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_j \right\},
\]
where \( \epsilon \) is an arbitrarily small positive constant, which is the convex set as in [4].

Flow cost:

Based on the queueing analysis [4] with the M/GI/1 multi-class processor sharing system, the expected total number of flows of BS \( j \) as \( L_j = \frac{\rho_j}{1 - \rho_j} \). We adopt the flow level cost at each site \( j \) is \( \phi_j(\rho_j) = L_j + 1 = \frac{1}{1 - \rho_j} \).

The delay optimal strategy of the network operator for all BSSs is equivalent to minimize the following cost
\[
\phi_j(\rho) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \phi_j(\rho_j) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{1 - \rho_j}.
\]

Computational delay:

According to M/M/1 queueing model as in [6], the average response time of edge servers at each site \( j \) is as
\[
\phi_j(\rho_j) = \frac{1}{\mu_j - \frac{\lambda_j}{m_j}}, \text{ where } m_j \text{ is the number of active servers, }
\]
\( \mu_j \) is the service rate of an edge server and \( \lambda_j \) is the arrival server loads based on the arrival traffic loads to the BS \( j \).

The aggregate computational delay of all BSSs as
\[
\phi_j(\rho_j) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \phi_j(\rho_j) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{1 - \rho_j}.
\]

Power and Energy model:

The power consumption of BS \( j \) is followed a linear model as in [5] as
\[
\psi_j(\rho_j) = (1 - \alpha_j)\rho_j Q_j + \alpha_j Q_j.
\]
where \( Q_j \) is the maximum power of BS \( j \). Thus, in the considered control period \( \Delta_i \), the BS energy usage is
\[
\mathcal{E}_i(\rho_j) = \psi_j(\rho_j) \times \Delta_i.
\]

The linear power model of edge server at site \( j \) in [6] as
\[
P(m_j) = m_j \left( p_{j,s} + p_{j,as} \times \frac{\lambda_j}{m_j \times \mu_j} \right) PUE,
\]
where \( \mu_j \) is the service rate, \( PUE \) is the power usage effectiveness of an edge server at site \( j \), \( p_{j,s} \) is the static power and \( p_{j,as} \) is the active server based on the server loads.

Thus, in the considered control period \( \Delta_i \), the edge server energy usage is \( \mathcal{E}_i(\rho_j) = P(m_j) \times \Delta_i \).

Energy usage from electricity grid follows
\[
\mathcal{E}_{grid}(m, p) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \mathcal{E}_j(\rho_j) + \mathcal{E}_p(m_j) \right) - \mathcal{E}_{gen},
\]
where \( \mathcal{E}_{gen} \) is the renewable energy procurement.

B. Problem Formulation

In this paper, our objectives comprise delay performance with price \( \gamma \) and energy cost with electricity price \( p_{grid} \). Therefore, we propose the formulation of Server Provisioning and User Association problem as follows
\[
\min_{m, p} \quad \gamma_i \times (\phi_i(\rho) + \phi_p(m)) + \mathcal{E}_{grid}(m, p),
\]
\text{s.t.} \quad m_j \in \{1, \ldots, M_j\}, \forall j \in \mathcal{S},
\]
\( \rho \in \mathcal{F} \).

The variables of this problem are the number of active server \( m_j \) and the user association decision \( p \). The BS loads \( \rho \) is the function of \( p \) according to the definition. This problem is MIP problem, which cannot be solved directly by solvers. Therefore, in the next section we propose an iterative algorithm for approximately solving the relaxed problem.

3. Solution Approach

Since this problem is NP-Hard problem, we first relax the integer variable (i.e., the number of active servers) to the real variables in constraint (7). After receiving the solution, the number of active servers is rounded to integer values. The relaxed problem is in the multi-convex form [7], which can be approximately solved by an alternative algorithm. Accordingly, we iteratively solve the User Association problem with the given number of active servers to find the optimal user association decision. Then based on the given user association decision, we update the number of active servers by solving Server Provisioning problem. The whole process is repeated until it achieves the convergence condition in the Alg.1. These problems are solved by using off-the-self solver (i.e., ECOS [8]).

For each iteration \( k \),

User Association problem
\[
\min_p \quad \gamma_i \times (\phi_i(\rho_k) + \phi_p(m_{k-1})) + \mathcal{E}_{grid}(m_{k-1}, p),
\]
\text{s.t.} \quad p \in \mathcal{F}.

Server Provisioning problem
\[
\min_m \quad \gamma_i \times (\phi_i(\rho_k) + \phi_p(m)) + \mathcal{E}_{grid}(m, p_{k}),
\]
\text{s.t.} \quad 1 \leq m_j \leq M_j, \forall j \in \mathcal{S}.

Algorithm 1

1: Initialization: Initialize \( k = 0, \epsilon \);
2: repeat
3: Compute \( p(k); p_{(k)} \) from User Association problem;
4: Compute \( m(k) \) from Server Provisioning problem;
5: until \( \|m(k) - m(k-1)\| \leq \epsilon \).

4. Simulation Results

For an example scenario, we consider a system of five sites. These sites are located in a 1 × 1 km² region, the generated traffic loads come from 100 virtual groups of users. According to the communication model of urban macromels with the simulation parameters in the WiMAX evaluation methodology
document [10], we use the COST 231 path loss model. The electricity price is 0.07134 $/kWh [9] and cost factor of delay performance is 300 $/unit. The maximum BS power Q is 865 Watts [4] and the portion of static power is 0.2. Static power of edge servers is 200 Watts, and active power is 400 Watts, PUE is 1.5 [6]. The convergence condition threshold is set to $10^{-9}$. The maximum number of servers can be activated is 5. In Fig. 2, we obtain the converged solution within six iterations. Since BS_3 is in the center of the region where the traffic generation is higher than other BSs, it requires more active servers to process data.

![Number of active servers vs iterations](image)

Fig. 2: The convergence of the proposed algorithm.

For the comparison, we compare our approach with two other strategies such as activate all of the edge servers and activate just one edge server at each site. The proposed algorithm shows the trade-off between energy cost and delay cost in Fig. 3. Our proposed algorithm receives less energy cost and higher delay cost compared to the strategy of activating all edge servers. On the other hand, our proposed algorithm obtains the better delay performance and uses more energy compared to the strategy of activating only one edge server. In conclusion, our proposed algorithm receives the least overall cost in terms of delay performance and energy cost.

![Energy cost comparison](image)

(a) Energy cost comparison

![Delay cost comparison](image)

(b) Delay cost comparison

Fig. 3: Energy cost and delay cost of algorithms.

5. Conclusion & Future Work

In this paper, we investigate an efficient approach for the user association and server provisioning problem of a centralized network controller in Mobile Edge Computing. The proposed algorithm can help to reduce energy cost and enhance delay performance. In the future work, we advocate extending the work for multiple time slots management regarding the battery management and long-term costs.
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