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Abstract—Enhancing security for routing in Multi-hop Wireless Mesh Networks currently becomes challenging topic because of inherent vulnerabilities of wireless communications. To utilize the characteristics of WMN’s topology, in this paper, we propose an algorithm to preserve privacy for routing. This idea comes from the fact that if we can separate data traffic into more than one path, the probability to capture all traffic from intermediate node is very small. It means it is very difficult to launch traffic analysis attacks because of traffic confidentiality. We apply Information Entropy to model our routing traffic and highlight the robustness of the algorithm. We also present a detail traffic evaluation observed from neighboring nodes to show the availability of our proposal in term of loop free and computational overhead. (*)
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I. INTRODUCTION

Along with Mobile Ad-hoc Network, Wireless Mesh Network recently has attracted increasing attention thank for the low-cost deployment and topology flexibility [5]. WMN represent a good solution to providing wireless Internet connectivity in a large scale. This new and promising paradigm allows for deploying network at much lower cost than with classic WiFi network. However, multi-hop makes routing in WMNs a very important and necessary functionality of the network. Thus, the routing mechanism must be secured.

We consider a Mesh Topology shown in Fig. 1. In this network, multiple mesh routers communicate with each other to form a multi-hop wireless backbone that forwards user traffic to the gateways which are connected to the Internet. Client devices access a stationary wireless mesh router at its residence

Confidentiality (privacy) is one of the most important criteria regarding security aspect. Despite the necessity, limited research has been conducted towards privacy preservation in WMN. In this paper, we focus on traffic confidentiality which prevents the traffic analysis attack from the mesh router. Our target is designing a lightweight traffic privacy preserving mechanism for WMN which is able to balance between traffic analysis resistance and bandwidth cost.

(*) This work was supported by MIC and ITRC Project

The key idea is if the traffic between source S and destination D goes through only one route, any intermediate node can easily observe the entire traffic between S and D. This route is vulnerable to traffic privacy attacks. To tackle this weakness, we propose a Multi-path routing mechanism which utilizes multiple paths for data delivery and can protect attacks based on data analysis. When the data is transmitted by more than one route, it is very difficult for attackers to discover the entire route of hope-to-hop communication. It means they can not completely collect data from source and destination, thus they can not restore and understand the meaning of stolen data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly discusses some related works. In Section 3, we propose an algorithm to find the multi-path between two mesh routers (nodes) when end-users want to communicate with each other or access to Internet. In this section, we focus on traffic confidentiality and solve problem of traffic pattern concealment. To make our proposal more reliable, we apply Information Entropy to model our routing traffic and prove the robustness of the algorithm in Section 4. Finally, section 5 exposes some perspectives for further work.

II. RELATED WORK

WMN is a hybrid network which has both mobile parts and stationary parts. However, due to limited capacity, delay
constrains [6] and the lack of security guarantees [7, 15, 16, 17], WMNs are not yet ready for wide-scale deployment. The first problem can be solved by using multi-radio and multi-channel Transit Access Points (TAPs) [8]. The other most important challenge concerned here is security especially in routing protocol.

In the existing literature, traffic padding [12] and anonymous overlay routing [9, 10, 11] have been proposed to preserve user traffic privacy and increase the difficulty for traffic analysis. The onion routing [19] developed by David Goldschlag et al. can secure communication through an unpredictable path but it is necessary to encrypt message between routers. This means all intermediate nodes have to involve in encryption/decryption process which cause more overhead. In wireless ad-hoc networks, authors proposed schemes for location and identity privacy in [13, 14]. However, none of them can be applied to WMN directly. First, traffic forwarding relationship among nodes is strongly dependent on their locations and the network topology, which is static in WMN. Second, WMNs have some specifications which require adaptive changes in routing protocol. Moreover, the traffic padding mechanism consumes considerable amount of network bandwidth, which makes it impractical in resource-constrained WMNs. Normally, to better utilize the wireless channel resource and enhance the data delivery performance, a short path is usually selected. Such observations show that the anonymity systems, which rely on relayed traffic among nodes (randomly selected out of thousands) to gain anonymity, can not effectively preserve users’ privacy in WMNs, or at the cost of significant performance degradation.

In reality, the traffic of a node is a continuous function of time, as shown in Fig. 2.

![Sampling continuous traffic](image)

**Figure 2: Sampling continuous traffic**

But in our proposal, to apply Information Entropy for privacy preservation, we consider the traffic as discrete random variable. Therefore, as the first step, we discrete the continuous traffic into piece-wise approximation of discrete values. Then we measure the amount of traffic in each period, usually in terms of number of packets, with assumption that the packet sizes are all equal.

In the routing table, as shown in Fig. 1, the Source and Destination’s addresses are encrypted by existing encryption schemes. The intermediate nodes only know the address of Mesh Routers in source and destination residence. This provides the second security layer to preserve privacy.

### III. Multi-path Finding Algorithm

To apply our algorithm to routing protocol, some preconditions are established and require a little bit change in routing table. We define Found Route to count and keep the number of paths found after the algorithm is executed. Node Occupied Status is 0 at initial stage and is set to 1 if a node is not available or it is already in a path. Number $RREQ$ is the number of requests sent from source to destination. Each time a route is found or Request Time is over, the source will send another request and Number $RREQ$ will be counted down. In our algorithm, Number $RREQ$ is equal to the number of neighbors of source node. Request Time is adjustable value. Its value can be flexibly assigned. It is not too long to avoid overhead and not too short to guarantee path finding process.

![Multi-Path Finding Algorithm](image)

**Figure 3: Multi-Path Finding Algorithm**

Hop count (HC) is used to determine the shortest path and it is increased by 1 if $REQ$ or $RREP$ is forwarded each hop. In this algorithm, $HC$ is also used to avoid $RREQ$’s loop back which also causes time and energy consumption.
In Step 1, all node states are unoccupied. The RREQ is sent to all neighbors of source node. Node’s availability [1] will be checked in this step. There are many criteria to decide whether a node has ability and capacity to become an intermediate node in a route. If a node has enough Signal strength, Bandwidth, and Energy Remaining; it can be intermediate node, otherwise, it will discard the RREQ, set Node Occupied Status = 1, notify Source Node of its conditions, and will not involve in the procedure. By this way, the number of nodes involving in the algorithm is limited. As mentioned above, Hop Count (HC) is stored in routing table of each node and compared with new HC index when a RREQ arrives. If new RREQ has HC smaller than current one, the node will update new HC and go to Step 2.

In Step 2, Node’s Address is compared with Destination Address in RREQ. If it has the same address, Found Route is set to 1 and the number of RREQ is decreased by 1. At this time, Number_RREQ and Request_Time are checked in Step 3 and if one of them equals 0, the algorithm is finished. Those conditions guarantee overhead avoidance.

Note that when a node does not satisfy the condition in Step 2, it will unicast back to notify the source and from this time it will not participate in the routing process. Moreover, the repetition of step 1 in step 2 is different from step 3 because the Number_RREQ is only counted down when a new route is found. That is the reason why we need Request_Time to avoid overhead.

After the finding algorithm finished, in the routing table of involved nodes, the information about the number of routes and list of nodes in each route are stored. From that information, source node starts to send data through separate paths. As we discussed in [1], the path between source and destination in this case also need not be shortest path regarding hop count.

To illustrate the privacy preserving and evaluate the rare probability that an attacker can capture and reassemble the data from source to destination in our algorithm, in the next section, we apply Information Entropy (also called Shannon Entropy) into our proposal.

IV. TRAFFIC EVALUATIONS

In the information theory, the concept of Information Entropy (Shannon Entropy) describes how much information there is in a signal or event. In fact, this concept is applied in many fields of the information theory as well as the statistical theory. In our proposal, it is used for evaluating the traffic volume that goes through separate routing paths described above.

We discrete continuous traffic into equal-size sampling period as discussed in the section 2, and use A as the random variable of this discrete value. The probability that the random variable A is equal to i (a node receives i packets in a sampling period) is \( P(A = i) \). Likewise, \( P(B^4 = j) \) is the probability that \( B^4 \) is equal to j. (\( i, j \in N \)).

From those definitions, the Information Entropy of the discrete random variable \( A \) is

\[
H(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A = i) \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{P(A = i)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A = i) \log_2 P(A = i)
\]

\( H(A) \) is a measurement of the uncertainty about the outcome of \( A \). It means if the value of \( A \) is distributed and no value predominates, \( H(A) \) takes its maximum value. On the other hand, if the traffic pattern is Constant Bit Rate (CBR), then \( H(A) = 0 \), since the number of packets at any sampling period is fixed.

Similarly, we have the entropy for \( B^4 \) as follows.

\[
H(B^4) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B^4 = i) \log_2 P(B^4 = i)
\]

\( B^4 \) is a random variable representing the number of packets destined to node a observed at node b in a sampling period. The purpose of this equation is to evaluate the amount of information which can be observed from a neighbor of a node. For this we can assure that in case a node and some other neighbors are compromised, they also can not capture the whole sent data.

Then we define the conditional entropy of random variable \( B^4 \) with respect to A as

\[
H(A \mid B^4) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B^4 = j) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij} \log_2 p_{ij}
\]

where

\[
\text{in which } p_{ij} = P(A = i \mid B^4 = j)
\]

is the probability that \( A = i \) given that \( B^4 = j \). \( H(A \mid B^4) \) can be thought of as the uncertainty remained about \( A \) after \( B^4 \) is known. The joint entropy of \( A \) and \( B^4 \) can be shown as

\[
H(A, B^4) = H(B^4) + H(A \mid B^4)
\]
The mutual information of $A$ and $B^4$ which represents the information we can gain about $A$ from $B^4$ is defined as

$$I(B^4, A) = H(A) + H(B^4) - H(A, B^4) = H(A) - H(A/B^4) \quad (V)$$

Suppose the traffic observed at $b$ is proportional to $a$ at any sampling period. If $B^4 = j$, we can conclude that $A$ equals to a fixed value $i$. In this case, we have $P(A = i | B^4 = j) = 1$. This, according to Eq. (III), makes the conditional entropy $H(A | B^4) = 0$. It means the uncertainty about the outcome of $A$ when we know $B^4$ is 0. From Eq. (V), we have $I(B^4, A) = H(A)$, implying that we gain the complete information about $A$, given $B^4$. Otherwise, if $B^4$ is independent of $A$, the conditional entropy $H(A | B^4)$ is maximized to $H(A)$. According to Eq. (V), we have $I(B^4, A) = 0$, i.e., we gain no information $A$ from $B^4$. From Eq. (V), we also figure out that we have to minimize the maximum mutual information $I(B^4, A)$ that any node can obtain about $A$ to preserve privacy. In fact, since $B^4$ records the number of packets destined to node $a$, it can not be totally independent of random variable $A$. Therefore, the mutual information should be valued between the two extremes discussed above, i.e., $0 < I(B^4, A) < H(A)$. This means that node $b$ can still obtain partial information of $A$’s traffic pattern.

Finally, we denote the average traffic through a node in a disjoint path as

$$T_{avr} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_i \quad (VI)$$

in which, $m$ is the number of path found, $T_i$ is the traffic of a node at a specific time.

As shown in fig. 4, the obtained Average Throughput of a node in a route is always larger than the throughput observed by a neighbor of it.

In the figure 5, we monitor Traffic Throughput of a node by its neighbor in a period of time.

The figure has shown that the probability of successfully capturing data will be reduced in direct proportion to the number of found routes ($m$). It means traffic privacy will be preserved in direct proportion to $m$.

V. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Our proposed approach in this paper is applied to WMNs which have static Mesh Router. In case of Wireless Mobile Ad-hoc Networks, it is much more difficult to maintain found routes according to the node’s mobility. In fact, the routers which placed in a building are supposed to be physically protected. Therefore, they are harder to attack than the Transit Access Points (TAPs) which are placed outside. In this case, the source and destination node usually are Access Points placed in buildings. Along with current key managements and authorization schemes, we assume that they are fully protected. If some attacks occur at intermediate nodes, as shown in previous sections, the probability that attackers can capture and restore data which is sent from source to destination through several disjoint paths is very small. Note that even if attackers can capture 99%, they still can not merge the data and this stolen data is meaningless.

After a route was found, the data is split and marked before it is sent to the destination. When other routes are found, the remaining packets will be continuously sent through those paths randomly. This mechanism will reduce time consumption and also preserve data confidentiality.

In our algorithm, we especially concern about reducing overhead, so that we propose two parameters as Request_Time and Number_RREQ (discussed in section 3) to avoid time consumption. Also, the algorithm is loop free thanks to the discarded RREQ and the finish of participating progress of unavailable nodes in Step 2.
The algorithm needs a small change in routing table and can be easily applied to the current routing platforms as discussed in section 2. Also, in our environment, there are enough number of nodes to find multiple disjoint path. Of course, in the worst case, there is only one communication path (for example with only 3 mesh router) and this scenario becomes conventional communication (one route between source and destination).

In the future work, we will discuss attack scenarios and countermeasures regarding to security analysis and continue implementing our proposal in Testbed cooperating with existing routing protocol for WMNs. In addition, we will provide specific analysis how our scheme is implemented with well-known encryption algorithms to make the communication route more secure. Also, we are working on an algorithm for privacy preservation in Mobile Wireless PAN in which the network topology always changes due to node’s mobility.
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